| **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary** | **Application No.** 10/516,507 | **Applicant(s)** LOESSNER ET AL. | |
| | **Examiner** SATYENDRA K. SINGH | **Art Unit** 1657 | |

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _after FAOM_

   (1) _SATYENDRA K. SINGH_.   (3) _____.

   (2) _SHMUEL LIVNAT (attorney of record)_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _4 June 2008_ **Time:** _7 PM_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
_N/A_

Claims discussed:
49-66 AND 69

Prior art documents discussed:
_N/A_

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, Art Unit 1657

          (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative (attorney of record, Mr Shmuel Livnat; phone 202-628-5197; 301-807-2803) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and provided with a proposed Examiner's Amendment (by fascimile) to the pending claims (that have been found to be allowable through an in house patentability conference with the Primary examiner, Irene Marx and SPE, Jon Weber) for applicant's considerations. Mr. Shmuel Livnat called the examiner and confirmed that applicant's have accepted the proposed Examiner's Amendment to the claims..